



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/088,674	06/02/1998	DANIEL J. MORGAN	TI-25995	2025		
23494	7590 06/17/2003					
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED ·			EXAMINER			
P O BOX 655 DALLAS, TX	474, M/S 3999 75265		NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2674	2		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action    09/088,674		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner   Favo M. Nguyen   2874    -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	Advisory Action	09/088,674	MORGAN ET AL.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → THE REPLY FILED 02, June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abendomment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, will be abstratory period for reply septie state mail SM MONITHS on the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, his BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(i).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(s). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(s) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for jurposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for jurposes of determining the period of exploration under 37 CFR 1.136(s) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for jurposes of determining the period of exploration date of the sharped set in the final rejection.  2) is set find in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension see have been filed in the date of the final rejection.  3) CFR 1.132(a), or any extension thereof (3) TCFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	Autiony Audon	Examiner	Art Unit	
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a intel rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RECE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14.    PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)		Kevin M. Nguyen	2674	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a initial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled Motice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  a) ☐ The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) ☐ The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  no event, however, will be stativory period for reply spire later than (1) the date set torth in the final rejection, no event, however, will be stativory period for reply spire later than (1) the objection on the set of the final rejection.  The Book White The First RefLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP (70.607/1).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the final rejection. See the final rejection are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the propriate extension are under the propriate extension are under the propriate that the final rejection.  A Notice of Appeal was affected and	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expires the mail xin AVI NO MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS DOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS PILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRSL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of them may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.138(a) is all caused from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in fail officie actions; or 2) as set forth in (1) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if immely filed, may reduce any exame patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.138(a). The intermediation of the fee. The appropriate extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.194(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of the control o	ition. A proper reply to a notice places the application in	I
the period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(1) the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension set under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened set of the shorthened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection, even if into set of the shorthened set of	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTI-S from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI-S OT THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorthend statutory period for eyely originally set final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mainly filed, may require aque any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.134(d)), to avoid dismissal of the mailing date of the final rejection, even if mainly filed, may require any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:      Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1.	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire It ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of the co	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extenunt of the fee. The appropriate extenuit of the fee. The appropriate extenuity set in the final Office action	nsion ension en; or
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The ali ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  RICHARD HJERPE  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  **Revin M. Nguyen Examiner.**  **PATHONE**  **Revin M. Nguyen Examiner.**  **PATHONE**	imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: 3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Superior of the proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO 1445) Paper No(s) Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner  BICHARD H.JERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner  BICHARD H.JERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)	(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO 1449 Paper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner  Examiner  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the
3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1149) Paper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner and Unit: 2674	(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5.  The a   affidavit, b   exhibit, or c   request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.  9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO 1449 P) per No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE	NOTE:			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO 1449/Paper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner  At Unit: 2674	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO 1449 Paper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendme	ent
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-14/19) Paper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674			dered but does NOT place th	ie
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Faper No(s)  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449/ Paper No(s)  10. Other:  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674				
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449/Paper No(s)  10. Other:  RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449/ Paper No(s)  10. Other:  RICHARD HJERPE  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  Kevin M. Nguyen  Examiner  Art Unit: 2674	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other:  RICHARD HJERPE  Kevin M. Nguyen  Examiner  Art Unit: 2674	. ,	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
RICHARD HJERPE Kevin M. Nguyen SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Examiner Art Unit: 2674				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Examiner Art Unit: 2674	<u></u>		·	
		ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the reasons cited in the last Final office action. Barbier et al reply upon teaching the method of offsetting a first pixel values (A1) a first predetermined amount (a+) to form a first offset pixel value (A1+a)...offsetting said first pixel value (A1) by the opposite of said first predetermined amount (a-) to form a second offset pixel value (A1-a) (column 5, lines 4-20), and the logic circuits offsetting 4 and 6 (see figure 2, column 5, lines 1-3).